

BRAMBER PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of Planning Meeting on Thursday, 20th April 2016 in Beeding & Bramber Hall at 6.00.p.m.

Present: Councillors J.Goddard (Chair), R.Potter, N.Stubbs, M.Tilley, M.Croker, D. Goodall and the clerk. There was 1 member of the public present.

1. Apologies for Absence

There were no apologies.

2. Declarations of Interest

Councillor Goodall declared a prejudicial interest in application DC/17/0741 and left the meeting giving apologies that she would not return due to a further commitment. Councillor Croker declared a personal interest in the same application.

3. Questions from the Public

The member of the public present gave background to application DC/17/0741 saying that a previous application had been approved by Bramber PC in 2008 but refused by HDC. However, the residents had been advised to re-apply once the extension to the neighbouring property had been completed. He also emphasised to the members that it was only the curved shape that took it over the size of a permitted development.

4. Planning Applications

The council then considered the following applications:

DC/17/0741 Springfield, Clays Hill

The council, following discussion unanimously decided there were no objections.

DC/17/0721 11, The Ridings

Councillors considered this application and agreed that there were no objections.

DC/17/0797 The Elms, Castle Lane

Councillors considered this amended application in conjunction with the previous one and were in unanimous agreement that there were no objections.

5. Any Other Planning Business

Councillor Potter asked members for comments they wished him to make at the Horsham DC Planning Committee meeting next week regarding application **DC/16/1088 Crimond- New House on Clays Hill.**

Councillor Croker explained the developer's planning note to members. Then councillors asked for the following comments to be included:

New builds should not be judged on old standards

Amount of traffic using Clays Hill now far in excess of that when original houses were built

The telegraph pole is missing from the diagram and this will now be far closer to the amended position of the entrance so making the visibility splay even less

It would be setting an undesirable precedent

Councillor Potter was also requested to re-iterate the original concerns of the council

The meeting then closed at 7 p.m.