## #1

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Tuesday, November 27, 2018 9:06:44 AM Last Modified: Tuesday, November 27, 2018 9:58:59 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:52:14 **IP Address:** 80.229.2.127

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Strongly disagree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Strongly

disagree

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

there is plenty of housing, no need for

anymore

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Agree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is

unsuitable:

in national park should be protected green space

(kingsmead)

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

31 to 50 dwellings Strongly agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Strongly disagree

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

its in national park and an area of woodland, should be protected, it has lots of planning history and lost an appeal for development in the past...

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings

Strongly disagree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)
Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly disagree
Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

kingsmead site, its in the national park but this is not giving it enough protection.. its woodland and the developer have been clearing with diggers so it looks very different to how it did a few months ago. I believe Horsham council are very concerned about the loss of trees...

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Protection of Views Minimising Flood Risk

Strongly agree
Strongly disagree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport

network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree Strongly agree Strongly agree

Q15 Do you agree with the pro	posed Residential Parking policies?
-------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of

the dwellings they serve

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per  $\,$ 

parking area)

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

vehicles

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

#### Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Strongly agree
Strongly disagree

#### Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

# Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Strongly disagree

#### Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Strongly disagree

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

Strongly disagree

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?

Strongly agree

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?

Strongly disagree

Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Strongly disagree
<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q26</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Final Comments	
Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedb	pack
Maudlin lane is becoming very dangerous with cars using as a shor suggest speed humps or making this a no thru road to west sussex people walk up and down it but there are no footpaths (Maudlin la	highways. Someone is going to be injured on this lane as many
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Respondent skipped this question
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Respondent skipped this question

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Tuesday, November 27, 2018 5:54:03 PM **Last Modified:** Wednesday, November 28, 2018 2:53:07 PM

**Time Spent:** 20:59:03 IP Address: 92.26.16.161

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in? Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Strongly agree **Design of Development** Strongly agree

**Energy Efficiency and Design** Agree

Sites Strongly agree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an

identified housing need for Bramber?

Q4 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so Agree

far for Clays Field?

Q5 Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Agree** 

Q6 If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

**Agree** 

5 to 15 dwellings Strongly agree

16 to 30 dwellings Agree

31 to 50 dwellings Strongly disagree I do not think the site is suitable Strongly disagree

Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so **Agree** 

far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Strongly agree

Q8 Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings 15 to 20 dwellings Strongly agree
Strongly disagree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)
Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly agree Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

No

Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

No

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure Strongly agree

Protection of Views Agree

Minimising Flood Risk Strongly agree
Renewable Energy Strongly agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport

Strongly agree

network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly agree Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies? Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of Strongly agree the dwellings they serve Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per Strongly agree parking area) Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service Strongly agree vehicles Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle Strongly agree parking at public facilities Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies? No reduction in public off-road spaces Strongly agree Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Strongly agree Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas Strongly agree Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at: Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)? Strongly agree A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)? Strongly agree Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled Strongly agree (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the Strongly agree church facility for further use within the community? Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth Disagree facilities in the parish? Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are Agree already protected? Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? Skate park?

Agree

Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's

would be worthwhile?

Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Agree
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be All weather surface to the Downslink to encourage sustainable trans	•
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be E-car charging in car park(s)	made to encourage retail/local business?
Page 6: Final Comments	
Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback	Respondent skipped this question
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Respondent skipped this question
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Respondent skipped this question

## #3

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Tuesday, November 27, 2018 6:22:40 PM Last Modified: Friday, November 30, 2018 8:33:30 PM

**Time Spent:** Over a day **IP Address:** 92.26.16.161

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development

Design of Development

Energy Efficiency and Design

Sites

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Agree

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Agree

Q6 If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings Strongly agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Agree

Agree

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings Strongly agree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)

Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure
Protection of Views
Strongly agree
Minimising Flood Risk
Renewable Energy
Strongly agree
Strongly agree
Strongly agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport Agree

network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Agree
Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Agree

Support schemes to minimise rat-running Agree

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking Respondent skipped this question policies?

Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces Agree

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas

Strongly disagree
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Strongly disagree

Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parkin	g proposals at:
Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	Agree
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	Strongly disagree
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Strongly agree
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce	
<b>Q19</b> Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Strongly disagree
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Agree
<b>Q21</b> Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Respondent skipped this question
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Agree
<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Strongly disagree
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q26</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Final Comments	
<b>Q27</b> Please use this space to give us any additional feedback	Respondent skipped this question

Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Respondent skipped this question
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Respondent skipped this question

## #4

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Friday, November 30, 2018 8:42:00 PM **Last Modified:** Friday, November 30, 2018 8:49:08 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:07:08 **IP Address:** 92.26.16.161

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development

Design of Development

Energy Efficiency and Design

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Sites Agree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an Agree identified housing need for Bramber?

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so **Agree** far for Clays Field?

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings Strongly agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so **Strongly agree** far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used **Strongly agree** to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings Strongly agree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)	Strongly agree
Maudlin Farm Field	Strongly agree

Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have
not been considered? (please give details below
including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

#### Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure	Strongly agree
Protection of Views	Strongly agree
Minimising Flood Risk	Strongly agree
Renewable Energy	Strongly agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport	Strongly agree
network	
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	Strongly agree
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	Strongly agree
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	Strongly agree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies? Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of Strongly agree the dwellings they serve Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per Strongly agree parking area) Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service Strongly agree vehicles Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle Strongly agree parking at public facilities Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies? No reduction in public off-road spaces Agree Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Agree Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas Agree Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at: Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)? Strongly agree A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)? Strongly agree Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled Strongly agree (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the Agree church facility for further use within the community? Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth **Agree** facilities in the parish? Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are Agree already protected? Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? Benches by the River Adur

Disagree

Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's

would be worthwhile?

Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Disagree
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be Better parking and dog bins at the Castle	e made to encourage tourism?
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be Better broadband / mobile phone reception	e made to encourage retail/local business?
Page 6: Final Comments  Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional	Respondent skipped this question
feedback	
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Respondent skipped this question
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Respondent skipped this question

# #5

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 2:04:06 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 2:12:09 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:08:02 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree
Sites Agree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Strongly agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Strongly agree

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Agree** 

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

16 to 30 dwellings Agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Agree

Agree

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings Strongly agree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)	Strongly agree
Maudlin Farm Field	Strongly agree

Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have
not been considered? (please give details below
including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Agree
Agree
Agree
Agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	Strongly agree
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users Retain all footpaths and bridleways Support schemes to minimise rat-running	Strongly agree Strongly agree Strongly agree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies? Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of Disagree the dwellings they serve Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per Agree parking area) Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service Strongly agree vehicles Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle Agree parking at public facilities Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies? No reduction in public off-road spaces Agree Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Agree Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas Agree Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at: Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)? Strongly agree A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)? Strongly agree Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled Strongly agree (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the Disagree church facility for further use within the community? Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth **Agree** facilities in the parish? Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are Can you suggest any heritage buildings/structures that are already protected? not already protected and should be?: no Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? nο Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's **Agree** would be worthwhile?

Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Respondent skipped this question	
<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be Do we need such?	e made to encourage retail/local business?	
Page 6: Final Comments  Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback  Agreed they had an understanding before the event but a better one after.		
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Agree	
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Agree	

# #6

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 2:12:49 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 2:22:27 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:09:37 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree
Sites Disagree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Strongly disagree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Strongly disagree

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed: The whole of Clays Field should be protected as a Green

Space. It encourages walking and walkers

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Access through Castle Lane is unsuitable. Castle Lane is a country lane and should remain as a single track, country lane for people to use.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so Disagree far for the site at Kingsmead Close? Q8 Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used Disagree, to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable: Kingsmead is protected and part of the South Downs. **Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, Respondent skipped this question please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer Page 3: Environment and Countryside Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far? Clays Field (southern section) Strongly agree Maudlin Farm Field Strongly agree Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have Respondent skipped this question not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that Respondent skipped this question have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far? Green Infrastructure Agree Protection of Views Agree Minimising Flood Risk Agree Renewable Energy Agree Page 4: Transport and Movement Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?		
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	Agree	
Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?		
No reduction in public off-road spaces	Agree	
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:		
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	Disagree	
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Respondent skipped this question	
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce		
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Agree	
<b>Q20</b> Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Disagree	
<b>Q21</b> Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Agree	
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Disagree	
<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Disagree	
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question	
<b>Q26</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question	

### Page 6: Final Comments

Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

What about the views from Clays Field. Shouldn't they be included?

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

Disagree

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Respondent skipped this question



#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 2:22:42 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 2:36:53 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:14:11 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree
Sites Agree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Agree

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Agree** 

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings Agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

#### Disagree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
Level of impact depicted by colours is incorrect.(size not allowed for TPO's),(General character should be red)(open space opportunity for use by community negligible) (traffis/congestion impat on Kingmead Close, Sopers Laneetc. would be greater than implied, and existing farm traffic,horse usage and narrow single exit at bottom of Sopers Lane would exacerbate safety issues.

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

#### Disagree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Red areas applied to Facilities and Access to Services make land on this site unsuitable for type of residence preffered. Site is within the SDNP and outside the building line and has had several applications for development rejected in recenet years by the Council as unsuitable for even 1 or 3 dwellings.. The fact tha so few sites have been offerred for development within Bramber should not result in this unsuitable site being included within the Neighbourhood Plan.

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings 15 to 20 dwellings Strongly disagree Strongly disagree

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section) Agree
Maudlin Farm Field Agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

#### Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure
Protection of Views
Disagree
Minimising Flood Risk
Disagree
Renewable Energy
Disagree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Disagree

Disagree

#### Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

Vehicles

Contribute financially towards the provision of declinated evels.

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities

Agree

#### Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas

Agree

Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Agree

#### Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

Agree

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Agree

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Agree
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Agree
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Agree
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Agree
<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Respondent skipped this question
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be Not sure about the expansion of Tourism.	made to encourage tourism?
<b>Q26</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Final Comments	
Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedba	ack
0 to 3 dwelling maximum at Kingsmead.  Not clear about site of proposed parking at A283 roundabout.	
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Disagree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Agree

## #8

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 2:41:18 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 2:58:06 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:16:48 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Sites Strongly disagree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Disagree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

Strongly against development. Land was gifted to local community in perpetuity. Concerns over wildlife and wildlife corridors. Concerns over additional traffic generated. Is the current owner fully aware of the views of local residents who thought this was for community use in perpetuity?

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Unlikely that any housing would be affordable. Destruction of open land for community use. Degrading green corridor between Bramber and Steyning.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so Strongly far for the site at Kingsmead Close? disagree If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed: Generation of more traffic on a road already dangerous. Q8 Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used Strongly to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? disagree Q9 If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, Respondent skipped this question please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer Page 3: Environment and Countryside Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far? Clays Field (southern section) **Agree** Maudlin Farm Field **Agree** Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have Respondent skipped this question not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that Respondent skipped this question have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far? Green Infrastructure Agree Protection of Views Agree Minimising Flood Risk Agree Renewable Energy **Agree** 

Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed	Transport and Movement policies?
------------------------------------	----------------------------------

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport

network

Agree

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Agree Agree

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Agree

#### **Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of

Agree

the dwellings they serve

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per

parking area)

Agree

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

vehicles

Agree

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Disagree

#### Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Agree

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas

Agree

Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Agree

#### Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

**Agree** 

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?

Disagree

# **Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Agree

#### Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?  The paying parking in Steyning is limited to 4 hours - why? This forces visitors to spend all day - walking, shopping lunch etc, parking on road causing congestion in Bramber and Steyning.		
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question	
Page 6: Final Comments  Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback		
Have you thought of agreeing a price with the developer/owner of Clays Field to buy them out. It could be that an appeal to Bramber/Steyning residents might raise the necessary sum to purchase for ownership and continued use by community (via a specific charitable trust). Have you sought legal opinion as to wether there is anything further the PC can do regarding the gifting of Clays Field in perpetuity to the community by its previous owner? There must be someone suitably qualified locally who would help do this fro free (but if necessary worth the cost		

Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Agree

Agree

# #9

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 2:58:21 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 3:32:54 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:34:33 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree

Sites Strongly disagree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

Not a suitable site. 1) will set a precedent for more development. 2) Destroy what hasbeen a well managed area of benefit to the well-being of the community. 3)Increase already critical traffic problems, pollution, destroy environmental corridors for wildlife. Is the current proposer fully aware and respectful of the intention that Clays Field be maintained for the benefit of those living in this area?

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly , disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

The understanding already held by residents was that the previous owner intended this to be accessible freely for use by local people over many years. Work to enhance the potential for xxx and wildlife was undertaken for the purpose.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

Respondent skipped this question

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Exacerbating already difficult conditions for locals and sensible road users. Route use by rat runners, delivery lorries, irresponsible packs of cyclists. Excessive speed on what is little more than a country lane. No longer usable (for the most part) by walkers as formerly.

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings 15 to 20 dwellings Strongly disagree Strongly disagree

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)

Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly agree Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Entirety of Clays Field should be kept in tact.

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure Agree
Protection of Views Agree
Minimising Flood Risk Agree
Renewable Energy Agree

Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?	
New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	Agree
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	Agree
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	Agree
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	Agree
Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking polici	es?
No reduction in public off-road spaces	Agree
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:	
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	Strongly disagree
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Agree
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce	
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Respondent skipped this question
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q21</b> Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Respondent skipped this question
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Respondent skipped this question
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Respondent skipped this question

Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

Where can tourists park legally if they wish to spend a whole day locally?e.g. shop, eat lunch, sight see,have tea. Time limits in car parks seem to prevent this.

Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

As above. Current parking scheme has encouraged inconsiderate motorists to clog up the High Street, discouraging customers.

#### Page 6: Final Comments

Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Environment Policies all laudible but are they compatible with housing envisaged or enforceable? Cycle routes needed separate form roads with separate parking facilities.

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

**Agree** 

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Respondent skipped this question

## #10

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 3:36:08 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 3:43:32 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:07:24 **IP Address:** 90.240.248.65

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree
Sites Agree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Strongly disagree

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
Not enough public access for proposed houses. Clays Field should remain LGS. Boundary of Clays Field should be

moved into Steyning - Field more part of Steyning

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Increased traffic problems - access to Clays Field too

narrow

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?	Disagree,  If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  Possibly suitable for small development - but access to site restricted by Maudlyn Lane narrow bends.
<b>Q8</b> Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?	Agree
Q9 If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer	Respondent skipped this question
Page 3: Environment and Countryside	
Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified	so far?
Clays Field (southern section)	Strongly agree
Maudlin Farm Field	Strongly agree
Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)	Respondent skipped this question
Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)	Respondent skipped this question
Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 4: Transport and Movement	
Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Move	ment policies?
New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	Agree
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users Retain all footpaths and bridleways	Strongly agree Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?		
Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	Strongly agree	
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	Agree	
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	Agree	
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	Agree	
Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking polici	ies?	
No reduction in public off-road spaces Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	Strongly agree Disagree Agree	
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:	Respondent skipped this question	
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Respondent skipped this question	
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce		
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Strongly agree	
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Strongly agree	
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Agree	
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Agree	

<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Final Comments  Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feeds uploaded by SLG	pack
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Agree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Agree

## #11

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 3:43:41 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 3:49:10 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:05:28 **IP Address:** 90.240.248.65

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Disagree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Strongly disagree

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
Environmentally and ethically unsuitable. Planning once granted will access further development. Should be maintained as a green buffer between villages

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings 16 to 30 dwellings 31 to 50 dwellings Strongly disagree Strongly disagree Strongly disagree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

**Agree** 

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Agree

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings

Agree

15 to 20 dwellings

Strongly disagree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)

Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

All of Clays Field

Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

All of Clays Field

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure Protection of Views Strongly disagree
Strongly disagree

Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly agree
Strongly agree
Strongly agree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of

the dwellings they serve

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Agree

Strongly agree

Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?		
No reduction in public off-road spaces Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	Agree Agree Disagree	
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parkin	g proposals at:	
Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	Disagree	
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	Strongly disagree	
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Respondent skipped this question	
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce		
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Agree	
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Agree	
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Disagree, Can you suggest any heritage buildings/structures that are not already protected and should be?: Bramber Castle being vandalised	
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Disagree	
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Disagree	
<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question	
<b>Q26</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question	

### Page 6: Final Comments

Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

input by SLG

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

Agree

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Disagree

## #12

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 4:00:29 PM **Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 4:05:40 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:05:11 IP Address: 90.240.248.65

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in? Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Disagree Design of Development **Disagree Energy Efficiency and Design** Disagree Sites Agree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

**Agree** 

Q4 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Disagree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed: Number of houses impacts directly on a number of Policies

of Traffic

Q5 Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Agree** 

Q6 If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings Strongly disagree

16 to 30 dwellings Agree

31 to 50 dwellings Strongly disagree

Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

**Agree** 

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Disagree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is

unsuitable:

Increased traffic in Kingsmead Close and then onto Maudlyn

Lane

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings

Agree

15 to 20 dwellings

Strongly disagree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)

Strongly agree

Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure
Protection of Views

Agree Agree

Minimising Flood Risk Renewable Energy Strongly agree
Strongly agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport

Agree

network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Agree

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Strongly agree Strongly agree

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of

the dwellings they serve

Strongly agree

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per

parking area)

Strongly agree

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

vehicles

Strongly agree

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Strongly agree

Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Disagree

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas Strongly disagree

Disagree

Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

**Agree** 

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?

Disagree

Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Strongly disagree

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Agree

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

Strongly agree

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?

Agree

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?

**Agree** 

<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Strongly disagree
<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q26</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Final Comments  Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedb input by SLG	pack
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Disagree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Agree

## #13

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 4:38:54 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 4:44:30 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:05:36 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page	1:	About	this	surve	/
------	----	-------	------	-------	---

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

#### Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

#### Agree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed: 25% with 75%maintained space is a reasonable compromise

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

#### Disagree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Castle Lane not suitable access. However if small development of low cost homes this is not a big problem

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

Respondent skipped this question

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

Respondent skipped this question

Page 3:	Environment	and	Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)	Strongly agree
Maudlin Farm Field	Strongly agree

Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have
not been considered? (please give details below
including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

# **Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

## **Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	Strongly agree
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	Strongly agree
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	Strongly agree
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	Strongly agree

## Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?		
Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	Strongly agree	
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	Strongly agree	
Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:	Respondent skipped this question	

Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce  Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Respondent skipped this question
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Strongly agree
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Respondent skipped this question
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q26</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Final Comments	
<b>Q27</b> Please use this space to give us any additional feedbase. Speed and noise of A283roundabout should be dealt with to achieve make riverbank cycle path.	ack e legality. Also Downslink cycle path crossing is dangerous - need to
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Agree

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Strongly agree

## #14

#### COMPLETE

Web Link 1 (Web Link) Collector:

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 4:40:30 PM **Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 4:54:50 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:14:19 IP Address: 5.81.254.61

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in? Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree Design of Development **Agree Energy Efficiency and Design** Agree Sites Disagree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

**Agree** 

Q4 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so

far for Clays Field?

Agree

Q5 Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to

help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Agree** 

Agree

Q6 If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings Agree

16 to 30 dwellings Strongly agree

31 to 50 dwellings Agree

Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so

far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is

unsuitable:

**Agree** 

Agree

Inside South Downs National

Park

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

Respondent skipped this question

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)

Maudlin Farm Field

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure Agree
Protection of Views Agree
Minimising Flood Risk Agree
Renewable Energy Agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport

network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies? Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of **Aaree** the dwellings they serve Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per Strongly agree parking area) Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service Agree vehicles Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle Disagree parking at public facilities Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies? No reduction in public off-road spaces Strongly agree Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Strongly agree Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas Strongly agree Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at: Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)? Strongly agree A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)? Agree Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled Disagree (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the Agree church facility for further use within the community? Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth **Agree** facilities in the parish? Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are Agree already protected? Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should Respondent skipped this question seek to create or develop in the parish? Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's

would be worthwhile?

Strongly

disagree

Disagree
made to encourage tourism?
Respondent skipped this question
Respondent skipped this question
Respondent skipped this question
Agree

## #15

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 4:56:27 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 5:02:03 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:05:35 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree
Sites Agree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Agree

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Disagree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is

Thesite is needed as an additional green space within Bramber/Steyning. The proposed development is excessive and could be the thin end of the wedge for total development of the field.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings
16 to 30 dwellings
21 to 50 dwellings
Disagree
Disagree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Agree

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings Agree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)	Disagree
Maudlin Farm Field	Disagree

Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have
not been considered? (please give details below
including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure Agree

Protection of Views Strongly agree
Minimising Flood Risk Strongly agree
Renewable Energy Strongly agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport	Strongly agree
network	
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	Strongly agree
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	Strongly agree
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	Strongly agree

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of

the dwellings they serve

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per

parking area)

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

vehicles

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

#### Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas Strongly agree Strongly agree Strongly agree

#### Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

Agree

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?

Agree

# Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Agree

#### Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Strongly agree

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

Strongly agree

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?

**Agree** 

Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Agree
<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be Reduce business rates on small retail shops.	e made to encourage retail/local business?
Page 6: Final Comments	
<b>Q27</b> Please use this space to give us any additional feedback	Respondent skipped this question
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Agree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Strongly agree

## #16

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 6:47:23 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 6:55:25 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:08:02 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree
Sites Agree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Agree

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Agree

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings Strongly agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Agree

Agree

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

15 to 20 dwellings Agree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)	Strongly agree
Maudlin Farm Field	Strongly agree

Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have
not been considered? (please give details below
including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

#### Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure	Strongly agree
Protection of Views	Strongly agree
Minimising Flood Risk	Strongly agree
Renewable Energy	Strongly agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	Strongly agree
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users Retain all footpaths and bridleways Support schemes to minimise rat-running	Strongly agree Strongly agree Strongly agree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking p	policies?	
Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	Agree	
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	Agree	
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	Agree	
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	Agree	
Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies	es?	
No reduction in public off-road spaces	Agree	
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	Agree Agree	
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:		
Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	Agree	
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	Agree	
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Strongly agree	
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce		
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Strongly agree	
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Agree	
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Agree	
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?		
It would be wonderful to link the Castle mount to Bramber Brooks. ( Castle.	If the 'horse' field came up for sale - or by bridge to the path to	
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Agree	

Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of Disagree hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? The Kings Headneeds an overhaul - The Castle can absorb many visitors Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? Developing the Church for concerts etc, and linking Castle area to B. Brooks - it's a powerful and unique area. Page 6: Final Comments **Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional Respondent skipped this question feedback Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Strongly agree Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better Agree

understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

## #17

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 6:55:31 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 6:58:22 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:02:51 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree
Sites Agree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Agree

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly agree

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

16 to 30 dwellings Agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

**Agree** 

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Agree

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

15 to 20 dwellings Agree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)	Strongly agree
Maudlin Farm Field	Strongly agree

Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have
not been considered? (please give details below
including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

#### Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure	Strongly agree
Protection of Views	Strongly agree
Minimising Flood Risk	Strongly agree
Renewable Energy	Strongly agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	Strongly agree
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users Retain all footpaths and bridleways Support schemes to minimise rat-running	Strongly agree Strongly agree Strongly agree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking	policies?	
Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	Strongly agree	
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	Strongly agree	
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	Strongly agree	
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	Strongly agree	
Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?		
No reduction in public off-road spaces Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	Strongly agree Strongly agree	
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:		
Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	Strongly agree	
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	Strongly agree	
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Strongly agree	
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce		
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Strongly agree	
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Agree	
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Respondent skipped this question	

<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Final Comments	
<b>Q27</b> Please use this space to give us any additional feedback	Respondent skipped this question
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Agree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Agree

## #18

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:24:45 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:27:44 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:02:58 **IP Address:** 90.240.248.65

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree

Energy Efficiency and Design Strongly agree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified beviaing pead for Promber?

identified housing need for Bramber?

Strongly agree

Q4 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so

far for Clays Field?

Agree

Q5 Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to

help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Agree

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings Strongly agree

Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so

far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Respondent skipped this question

Q8 Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used Agree

to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings Agree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)

Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure

Protection of Views

Minimising Flood Risk

Renewable Energy

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport
network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users
Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly agree
Strongly agree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of

the dwellings they serve

Strongly agree

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per  $\,$ 

parking area)

Agree

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

vehicles

Strongly agree

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Agree

Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Strongly agree

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas Agree Agree

Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

Strongly agree

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?

Strongly agree

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Agree

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

**Agree** 

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?

Agree

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?

Strongly agree

<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Disagree	
<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question	
Page 6: Final Comments  Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback		
Thank you for all the hard work that has gone into the plan [input by SLG]		
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Strongly agree	
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Strongly agree	

# #19

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:27:57 PM Last Modified: Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:31:51 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:03:54 **IP Address:** 90.240.248.65

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development

Design of Development

Energy Efficiency and Design

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Sites Agree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Agree

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Important for biodiversity and green open space for local residents. I use this field regularly and am amazed at the wild life I see - owls, heron etc., moths and butterflies

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

Respondent skipped this question

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Agree

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used Respondent skipped this question to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings Agree

# Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)	Strongly agree
Maudlin Farm Field	Strongly agree

<b>Q11</b> Are there any additional Green Spaces that have
not been considered? (please give details below
including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

## Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green InfrastructureStrongly agreeProtection of ViewsStrongly agreeMinimising Flood RiskStrongly agreeRenewable EnergyStrongly agree

## Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport	Strongly agree
network	
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	Strongly agree
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	Strongly agree
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	Disagree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking	policies?
Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	Strongly agree
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	Strongly agree
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle	Strongly agree
parking at public facilities	
Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking poli	cies?
No reduction in public off-road spaces	Strongly agree
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside area	Strongly agree Strongly agree
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road park	
Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	Strongly agree
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	Strongly agree
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled	Disagree
(Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce	
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the	Strongly agree
church facility for further use within the community?	
<b>Q20</b> Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Strongly agree
<b>Q21</b> Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are	Agree,
already protected?	Can you suggest any heritage buildings/structures that are
	not already protected and should be?: foot bridge over
	A283

Agree

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?

<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Disagree
<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be lower rates for businesses	made to encourage retail/local business?
Page 6: Final Comments  Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedb [input by SLG]	ack
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Agree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Respondent skipped this question

# #20

## COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Tuesday, November 27, 2018 8:56:36 AM Last Modified: Monday, December 03, 2018 4:24:01 PM

**Time Spent:** Over a day IP Address: 86.186.108.61

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

**Bramber** 

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development

Design of Development

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Energy Efficiency and Design

Strongly agree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

#### Disagree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

An incremental approach to making a decision on development ought to be considered. As many have observed the field has been used freely by the local community for recreational purposes in excess of 20years and tracks were clearly visible. Although I recall notices along the access from Goring Road I can't recall other notices indicating the landowner making it clear that public rights/uses were not intended. If such evidence is not available then development should be very limited to a small number of houses a adjacent to Castle Lane having regard to the loss of a buffer between Steyning & Bramber and the access to the site.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

#### Disagree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Although the possibility of development in Bramber is limited the site is an important visual buffer between the communities which each have differing characteristics. In reality it is part of Steyning where the number of available sites to meet need is likely to be greater.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

I do not think the site is suitable

Strongly agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Agree

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used **Agree** to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings

Agree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)

Strongly agree

Maudlin Farm Field

Agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Possibly the norther section of Clays field.

Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Inclusion of St Mary's field. Part has already been sold in plots thus preventing effective management. It is used by a huge number of walkers and visitors

#### Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure
Protection of Views
Strongly agree
Minimising Flood Risk
Renewable Energy
Strongly agree
Strongly agree
Strongly agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport
network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

#### Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per Agree

parking area)

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

Strongly a

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service Strongly agree vehicles

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

Strongly agree
parking at public facilities

#### Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas

Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Agree

Agree

#### Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?

Disagree

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Disagree

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Strongly agree
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Agree
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Agree
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should se	eek to create or develop in the parish?
Possibly an extension to the existing Street car park.	
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Agree
<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Agree
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be	made to encourage tourism?
Provision of greater range of accommodation. Clearer signposting of National Trails. Improved parking facilities at Bramber Castle.	f routes to the Downs and to the Village facilities to those using the
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be	made to encourage retail/local business?
Redevelopment of Annington Business Centre	
Page 6: Final Comments	
Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedb	ack
Great job being undertaken by volunteers	
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a	Disagree
Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	

# #21

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, December 03, 2018 5:16:53 PM **Last Modified:** Monday, December 03, 2018 5:34:54 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:18:00 IP Address: 92.26.16.161

# Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

## Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Design of Development **Energy Efficiency and Design** 

Sites

Strongly agree Strongly agree Strongly agree Strongly disagree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

**Disagree** 

Q4 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

#### Disagree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

This site is a Green Space and should not be built upon. It is an important buffer prventing the coalescence of Bramber and Steyning. It should remain either as a space offering a heavily used recreation facility or be used for agriculture. Access via Castle Lane has adverse effects upon existing users (cars, pedestrians, cyclists) and Goring Rd..

Q5 Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

# Strongly disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Access issues. Green space issues. Loss of another sanctuary for wildlife. Depending upon the size of the development, further pressure upon schools, medical facilities in Steyning.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings
16 to 30 dwellings
31 to 50 dwellings
I do not think the site is suitable

Strongly disagree Strongly disagree Strongly disagree Strongly agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Strongly agree

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used **Agree** to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings 15 to 20 dwellings Strongly agree
Strongly disagree

#### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)
Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly disagree
Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

All of Clay's Field should be designated a Green Space.

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure
Protection of Views
Minimising Flood Risk
Renewable Energy

Strongly agree
Strongly agree
Strongly agree
Strongly agree

Page 4: Transport and Movement

## Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport

network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

#### Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of

the dwellings they serve

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per

parking area)

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

vehicles

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

## Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces Strongly agree

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Agree Agree

#### Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

Agree

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)? Disagree

Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting

Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

**Agree** 

# Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Agree

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

Strongly agree

Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are Can you suggest any heritage buildings/structures that are already protected? not already protected and should be?: Possible archeological materials in Clay's Field. Items have already been found and removed at a previous excavation. Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? Improve the car park at Bramber Castle. Add litter and dog waste bins. Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's Disagree would be worthwhile? **Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of Disagree hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? Link up walking trails, publish a leaflet to guide visitors on a route. Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could Respondent skipped this question be made to encourage retail/local business? Page 6: Final Comments Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback It would have been more helpful if there had been an indication of the numbers of houses proposed for the 2 sites included in the displays. Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Strongly agree Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better **Agree** 

understanding about the process of the Bramber

Neighbourhood Plan

# #22

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, December 03, 2018 5:35:36 PM **Last Modified:** Monday, December 03, 2018 5:58:09 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:22:33 **IP Address:** 92.26.16.161

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree

Sites Strongly disagree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an

identified housing need for Bramber?

Strongly disagree

Q4 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so

far for Clays Field?

Strongly disagree

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

I think that the whole of Clays Field should be designated a

"green space" for the public to enjoy. We need to protect our "green spaces" not dig them up and I believe there are plenty of "brown field" sites and "infill" sites which would be sufficient for the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan. Has anyone collected data on how many people use Clays Field on a daily basis? Has anyone asked the people who use Clays Field why they enjoy it? Does BPC care about Clays Field?

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Clays Field provides a "green space" where people can enjoy fresh air, countryside and space. They can appreciate nature and feel at peace with the world as they enjoy far reaching views. A "green space" is good for mental health, physical health and general well-being.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

I do not think the site is suitable

Strongly agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Agree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

Has BPC asked those people who live in Kingsmead Close whether they want additional houses, cars, noise, pollution etc.? It seems the assessment questions do not consider residents feelings or quality of life.

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Disagree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

The BPC has not yet asked the immediate residents whether they have any concerns and yet they will be the most affected by a building programme.

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings 15 to 20 dwellings Strongly disagree
Strongly disagree

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)
Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly disagree Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

All of Clays Field should be a Green Space.

Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

The northernmost / uppermost part of Clays Field. A pathway runs around Clays Field along with 2 paths that cross the centre of the field. The views from the north of the field are uplifting. We need to preserve the field, its access and its views.

#### Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure	Strongly agree
Protection of Views	Strongly agree
Minimising Flood Risk	Strongly agree
Renewable Energy	Strongly agree

## Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	Strongly agree
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	Strongly agree
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	Strongly agree

# Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of	Strongly agree
the dwellings they serve	
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per	Strongly agree
parking area)	Ctronaly oares
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	Strongly agree
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle	Strongly agree
parking at public facilities	

# **Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	Strongly agree
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	Strongly agree
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	Strongly agree

Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking	ng proposals at:
Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	Disagree
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	Disagree
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Disagree
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce	
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Strongly agree
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Strongly agree
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Disagree, Can you suggest any heritage buildings/structures that are not already protected and should be?: Clays Field which may have archeological finds. Some have already been found down at the lake.
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should s	eek to create or develop in the parish?
Wooden benches around Bramber Castle for people to enjoy the vi Wooden benches on Clays Field for people to enjoy the view.	iew.
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Disagree
<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Disagree
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be	e made to encourage tourism?
The lake at Clays Field with information board about local wildlife. identify views in all directions.	An information board and wooden bench at the top of Clays Field to

88 / 155

How about a "BRAMBER PARISH WALK"? Starting at Clays Field then over to Bramber Castle, the church, St Mary's and a river walk

Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

ending back at Clays Field.

# Page 6: Final Comments

Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Building on Clays Field will have a great / huge impact upon the people living around it ~ be they Bramber residents or Steyning residents. Clays Field has a special place in people's hearts and should not be released for building. It would be to the detriment of all.

Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Agree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Agree

# #23

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, December 03, 2018 5:58:37 PM Last Modified: Monday, December 03, 2018 6:14:54 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:16:16 **IP Address:** 92.26.16.161

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree
Sites Agree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Disagree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
The assessment seems fair, but the 'red' sections pose

serious problems.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Disagree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

I feel that the access to extra housing via Castle Lane is an insoluble problem. However, I am not sure that there is another part of Clays Field that could be used for building.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

I do not think the site is suitable Agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Agree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

I think that the site can only support a small number of dwellings, eg 4 or maybe more varied houses, including some smaller, cheaper dwellings.

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Agree

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings

Agree

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)
Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly agree Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

I assume that Bramber Castle and Bramber Brooks are included

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure Agree
Protection of Views Agree
Minimising Flood Risk Agree
Renewable Energy Agree

Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport

network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

## Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of

the dwellings they serve

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per

parking area)

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

vehicles

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

#### Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas

Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Strongly agree Strongly agree Strongly agree

#### Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

Agree

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?

Agree

# Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting

Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Agree

# Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Strongly agree

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth

facilities in the parish?

**Agree** 

Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?

Agree

Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Agree
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Disagree
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?  A cafe in Bramber, though there's not really a central site.	
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question

# Page 6: Final Comments

# **Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

It's an impossible situation for the Parish Council, as there's virtually nowhere in Bramber that could support new housing, and yet they are expected to find sites. Since Clays Field is privately owned, it seems likely that the owner will eventually be successful in his application.

The biggest impact of the proposed site would be on Steyning residents adjoining Clays Field, so I hope that they will be allowed an input.

Thank you for all your hard work - the display panels were impressive.

<b>Q28</b> Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Strongly agree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Agree

# #24

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, December 03, 2018 7:09:11 PM Last Modified: Monday, December 03, 2018 7:19:54 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:10:43 **IP Address:** 92.26.16.161

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development

Design of Development

Energy Efficiency and Design

Sites

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Strongly agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Disagree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
Any development will detract from Clays Field as a long standing open space. The type of development needed (ie low cost) would be wholly at odds with that of development in Goring Road and Castle Lane.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly , disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Would undermine an important local open lung which would affect the setting of nearby houses and the access to Bramber Castle.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings 16 to 30 dwellings

31 to 50 dwellings

Disagree

Strongly disagree
Strongly disagree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Agree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

The site has all services and highway connection. It is part brownfield - there are concrete bases from previous stables and arisings from the 1960's development. Its development would not affect the landscape character of the Downs.

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly agree

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings 15 to 20 dwellings Strongly agree Disagree

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)
Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly agree Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure
Protection of Views
Minimising Flood Risk
Renewable Energy

Strongly agree Strongly agree Strongly agree Strongly agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport

Strongly agree

network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

#### Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of

the dwellings they serve

Strongly agree

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per

parking area)

Strongly agree

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

vehicles

Strongly agree

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Strongly agree

#### Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas Strongly agree Strongly agree Strongly agree

## Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

Strongly agree

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?

Strongly agree

# **Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Agree

#### Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Strongly agree

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

Strongly agree

Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Strongly agree
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Agree
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Agree
<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Final Comments  Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback  Design issues are important given the poor layout and appearance of the houses built next to the car park. A local architect could be	
asked to comment on issues of design when applications are considered by the parish.	
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Strongly agree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Strongly agree

# #25

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, December 03, 2018 7:25:13 PM **Last Modified:** Monday, December 03, 2018 7:40:22 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:15:08 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Strongly disagree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Strongly disagree

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
Clays Field should not be considered as a viable site for many reasons. It should be kept as a green space for the people of Bramber and Steyning, to form a space between the Parishes, to maintain the wildlife of the field, a place to walk and enjoy the fresh air and the countryside. Proposing to increase the traffic along Castle Lane is danferous for thte walkers, school children etc. and surrounding the field with a busy road will only increase noise and pollution for everyone.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings 16 to 30 dwellings 31 to 50 dwellings

I do not think the site is suitable

Strongly disagree Strongly disagree Strongly disagree Strongly agree

Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so Agree far for the site at Kingsmead Close? Q8 Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used **Agree** to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? Q9 If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer 5 to 10 dwellings **Agree** Page 3: Environment and Countryside Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far? Clays Field (southern section) Disagree Maudlin Farm Field Disagree Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have Respondent skipped this question not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that Respondent skipped this question have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far? Green Infrastructure Disagree Protection of Views Disagree Minimising Flood Risk Disagree Renewable Energy Disagree Page 4: Transport and Movement Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies? Retain all footpaths and bridleways **Agree** Support schemes to minimise rat-running Agree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?		
Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	Agree	
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	Agree	
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	Agree	
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	Disagree	
Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking polici	es?	
No reduction in public off-road spaces	Agree	
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	Agree Disagree	
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:		
Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?		
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	Agree Disagree	
7.255 Tournamout (The Otreet and Monaron's Way):	Disagree	
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Strongly disagree	
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce		
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Disagree	

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of **Disagree** hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?

Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

Leave Clays Field and other parks for visitors to enjoy, for the children to play and walking exercising dogs safely.

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

Respondent skipped this question

## Page 6: Final Comments

Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

How can the Highways people confirm that Castle Lane will cope with the extra traffic when they don't know how many extra cars will be using Castle Lane? Has anyone from Highways looked at the problems associated with exiting Castle Lane onto Goring Road? Any extra traffic will be dangerous.

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

Agree

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Disagree

# #26

## COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, December 03, 2018 7:40:43 PM Last Modified: Monday, December 03, 2018 8:09:23 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:28:39 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Strongly disagree

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
I cannot believe that WS Highways have done a proper study of the traffic that would be coming up Castle Lane if the development went ahead. Have they seen the school children, pedestrians, cyclists and dog walkers using this lane? For these people it would render the lane dangerous, not the safe lane it is now.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

The green space should not be built on for the following reasons:- 1) The increased traffic Castle Lane will have to endure which will endanger the lives of Bramber school children who walk up it every day. 2) Effect on the community services ie. doctors, schools, dentists that this development would obviously bring. 3)Loss forever of the green space between Bramber and Steyning 4)Some local people have been walking their dogs in this field for the past 30 to 40 years in complete safety. If this development goes ahead Clays Field will be surrounded by roads with heavy traffic on all four sides!!! - not village life at all! 5) When driving trying to get out onto Goring Road it is awful as cars parked in Goring Road obstruct the vision of the car exiting Castle Lane and therefore one has to drive into the middle of Goring Road to see clearly both right and left - this will be 100 times worse with all the new cars this development would bring and therefore the widening of the road should not be allowed. This would be an accident in waiting.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings 16 to 30 dwellings 31 to 50 dwellings Strongly disagree Strongly disagree Strongly disagree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Agree

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings

Agree

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Respondent skipped this question

# Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

Retain all footpaths and bridleways Support schemes to minimise rat-running Strongly agree Strongly agree

#### Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of

the dwellings they serve

Agree

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per

parking area)

Agree

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

Agree

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Agree

#### Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces Agree Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Agree

Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Agree

#### Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)? Agree

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)? Agree

Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Strongly disagree

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?		
Don't allow development of Clays Field- this field with its pond, pond life, bats skimming across it at night and other wildlife is an attraction to visitors and somewhere they can walk their dogs in safety.		
<b>Q26</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question	

## Page 6: Final Comments

## Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

I not understand all the questions. The form mentions a crossing on the A283 to re-connect Castle Lane but the prop. dev. of Clays Field and widening of Castle Lane will mean the school children in particular will cross one extremely busy road to then go up another very busy road as Castle Lane would become because there would be possibly another 100 cars using it, not the normal 12 to 15 cars that use it now!! I wouldn't want any child to have to run this gauntlet.

#### Other Comments:-

Couldn't comment on Housing policies as no detailed site plans available at presentation.

Didn't understand the Local Green Space question.

How can you ask a question about making routes safer when you are proposing to make Castle Lane more dangerous.

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

**Agree** 

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Respondent skipped this question

# #27

# COMPLETE

Web Link 1 (Web Link) Collector:

Started: Monday, December 03, 2018 8:02:12 PM **Last Modified:** Monday, December 03, 2018 8:19:13 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:17:00 IP Address: 86.182.16.253

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in? Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree Design of Development **Agree Energy Efficiency and Design Agree** 

Sites Strongly disagree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

**Disagree** 

Q4 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Strongly disagree

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

1/ Building on Clays Field will increase air pollution as well as noise and light poluution for those living around the field. 2/ Access down Castle Lane is not good. It is not safe and it destroys the nature of the country lane. A lane which would

have once had historical significance.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

We need to have a buffer between Steyning and Bramber if we are to maintain individual identities. A buffer would ensure that both communities kept their rural feel. We don't want to become an urban sprawl. A green buffer or green space serves the needs of the community. It provides a peaceful, beautiful space in which we can feel at one with nature.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

I do not think the site is suitable

Strongly agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Disagree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
Kingsmead Close should not be considered because it is part of the National Park.

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Disagree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

As above - it is part of The National Park and should be protected.

Q9 If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings 15 to 20 dwellings

Strongly disagree Strongly disagree

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)
Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly disagree Strongly disagree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

#### Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure
Protection of Views
Strongly agree
Minimising Flood Risk
Renewable Energy
Strongly agree
Strongly agree
Strongly agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport
network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users
Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly agree
Strongly agree

#### Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of
the dwellings they serve
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)

Strongly agree

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

Strongly agree
vehicles

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Disagree

#### Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas

Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Agree

Agree

#### Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)? Strongly disagree

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)? Strongly disagree

Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Disagree	
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce  Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Strongly agree	
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Disagree	
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Strongly agree	
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Strongly disagree	
<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Disagree	
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question	
<b>Q26</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question	
Page 6: Final Comments		
Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedba	ack	
Very concerned that building large numbers of houses in the Bramber Parish will change the very nature of Bramber.		
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Disagree	

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Disagree

### #28

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, December 03, 2018 8:36:37 PM **Last Modified:** Monday, December 03, 2018 8:47:23 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:10:46 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree

Sites Strongly disagree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Strongly disagree

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
The problems relating to access have been completely underestimated. The negative effect on the locality of Castle Lane has to a large degree been ignored. Also I feel that it's very unlikely that a commercial developer with a plot with this aspect and views is going to adhere to the Parish's preferences for two-bedroom dwellings to buy and rent. They will go for maximising profit.

Q5 Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?	disagree  If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  The access proposed is completely impractical. Having been a resident of Castle Lane for 17 years I have experienced ever increasing difficulty in exiting the top of the lane due to speed of traffic, increase volume of traffic and an ever increasing number of parked cars. Even the minimum amount of houses proposed (5/15) could result in 30 cars accessing the junction at busy times, There is also the increase in danger for all the school children who cross at this junction morning and evening. Due to the sharp incline at the junction of Goring Road in freezing or snowy conditions the slope freezes solid and has been on many occasions impassable.
<b>Q6</b> If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:	Respondent skipped this question
Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?	If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed: I am unfamiliar with this area of Bramber and therefore feel unqualified to give an opinion on the proposed development.
<b>Q8</b> Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?	Respondent skipped this question
Q9 If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer	Respondent skipped this question
Page 3: Environment and Countryside	
Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?	Respondent skipped this question
Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)	Respondent skipped this question
Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)	Respondent skipped this question

#### Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure Agree
Protection of Views Agree
Minimising Flood Risk Agree
Renewable Energy Agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport
network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users
Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

#### Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

#### Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas

Agree

Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Agree

#### Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

Agree

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?

Strongly disagree

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Strongly disagree

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Agree		
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Agree		
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Agree		
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question		
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Agree		
<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Strongly agree		
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question		
<b>Q26</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question		
Page 6: Final Comments  Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback  Given that the proposed development of Clays Field is for the benefit of Bramber Parish, it seems grossly unfair that the residents of			
Steyning ie. Goring Road, will suffer a reduction in their property val	ues as a result of the development.		
<b>Q28</b> Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Agree		
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Agree		

### #29

### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, December 03, 2018 8:47:45 PM Last Modified: Monday, December 03, 2018 9:18:55 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:31:10 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree

Sites Strongly disagree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Strongly disagree

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
The problems relating to access have been completely underestimated. The negative effect on the locality of Castle Lane has to a large degree been ignored. Also I feel that it's very unlikely that a commercial developer with a plot with this aspect and views is going to adhere to the Parish's preferences for two-bedroom dwellings to buy and rent. They will go for maximising profit.

Q5 Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?	disagree  If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  The access proposed is completely impractical. Having been a resident of Castle Lane for 17 years I have experienced ever increasing difficulty in exiting the top of the lane due to speed of traffic, increase volume of traffic and an ever increasing number of parked cars. Even the minimum amount of houses proposed (5/15) could result in 30 cars accessing the junction at busy times, There is also the increase in danger for all the school children who cross at this junction morning and evening. Due to the sharp incline at the junction of Goring Road in freezing or snowy conditions the slope freezes solid and has been on many occasions impassable.
<b>Q6</b> If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:	Respondent skipped this question
Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?	If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  I am unfamiliar with the area of Bramber and therefore feel unqualified to give an opinion on the proposed development.
<b>Q8</b> Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?	Respondent skipped this question
Q9 If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer	Respondent skipped this question
Page 3: Environment and Countryside	
Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?	Respondent skipped this question
Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)	Respondent skipped this question
Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)	Respondent skipped this question

#### Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure Agree
Protection of Views Agree
Minimising Flood Risk Agree
Renewable Energy Agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport
network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users
Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

#### Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

vehicles

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

#### Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas

Agree

Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Agree

#### Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

Agree

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?

Strongly disagree

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Strongly disagree

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Agree
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Agree
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Agree
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Agree
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Agree
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Final Comments	
Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback	Respondent skipped this question
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Disagree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Agree

# #30

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Tuesday, December 04, 2018 11:41:33 AM Last Modified: Tuesday, December 04, 2018 3:36:04 PM

**Time Spent:** 03:54:30 **IP Address:** 95.145.254.115

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree
Sites Disagree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

#### Disagree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed: The proposed access to the Clays field site from Castle Lane is not suitable - Access to Castle Lane from Goring Road has limited vision on exit and is on a steep gradient. - Castle Lane is narrow lane with no pavement frequently used by non motorised users including school children. -Development contractors traffic and vehicles would compromise access including emergency and refuse vehicles for existing Castle Lane residents unless road is significantly widened from the proposed A283 crossing to Goring Road to support passing and a pavement provided for safety of pedestrians prior to development. - 3 large conifers at end of Castle lane overhang the lane, create debris throughout autumn/winter. Road regularly flooded or becomes a muddy section and permanent lack of sunlight prevents thawing of snow and ice thus already making hazardous for NMUs - plans for A283 crossing and increased NMU traffic should include removal and replacing of conifers with lower height natural indigenous deciduous species. Regarding the biodiversity of Clays field, since the owner has stopped maintaining and mowing the grass the biodiversity has dramatically improved. with more butterflies, Dragon Flys, slow worms, filed mice etc. We now also see Owls and foxes in the evening and increasing bird life in the vicinity. Any designated green space should consider maintaining this improved diversity.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

#### Disagree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Not enough detail of the proposals, scope of development and access issues yet to able to form a definitive opinion on whether to support or oppose. Impact on existing residents including during proposed development not covered in any reasonable depth nor any mitigation indicated as conditions on developer.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings16 to 30 dwellings31 to 50 dwellingsI do not think the site is suitable

Agree
Disagree
Strongly disagree
Strongly agree

Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so Agree far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Q8 Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used **Agree** to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Q9 If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings **Agree** 

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section) Agree Maudlin Farm Field Agree

Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure **Agree** Protection of Views **Agree** Minimising Flood Risk **Agree** Renewable Energy Agree

Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport Agree network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Strongly agree Retain all footpaths and bridleways Agree Support schemes to minimise rat-running Disagree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies? Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of **Aaree** the dwellings they serve Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per Agree parking area) Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service Strongly agree vehicles Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle Disagree parking at public facilities Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies? No reduction in public off-road spaces Strongly agree Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Strongly agree Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas Agree Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at: Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)? Agree A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)? Agree Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled Strongly agree (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the Disagree church facility for further use within the community? Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth Agree facilities in the parish? Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are Agree already protected? Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should Respondent skipped this question seek to create or develop in the parish?

**Agree** 

Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's

would be worthwhile?

<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Disagree
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question

#### Page 6: Final Comments

Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Whilst not totally opposed to the development of some housing on Clays Field the council should be very cognisant of the commercial opportunism of the land owner. Greater detail should be obtained regarding proposals in particular regarding access and layout intentions. These should be discussed in more detail with the residents of Castle lane and Goring Road directly impacted as well as considered alongside the proposal to build a crossing on the A283 to connect Castle lane as a preferred route for NMU's. I do not consider sufficient detail or consideration has been given to these issues. Commitment from the developer should be obtained to mitigate these concerns BEFORE Bramber Parish Council support inclusion in in the plan. Consultation with those directly impacted by the council or the developer thus far has been very belated and poor.

Gordon & Christine Harris (Sidings, Castle Lane BN44 3GX)

Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Strongly disagree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Disagree

### #31

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Tuesday, December 04, 2018 4:28:34 PM Last Modified: Tuesday, December 04, 2018 4:33:09 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:04:34 **IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree
Sites Agree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Disagree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
As it is Clays Field upholds the general feeling of a countryside space as you leave a small town and then arrive at a charming small village. Without that space it will just be another concrete jungle.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

Respondent skipped this question

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

**Agree** 

<b>Q8</b> Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?	Strongly agree
Q9 If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, ple would prefer	ease give an indication of the scale of development you
5 to 10 dwellings	Strongly agree
Page 3: Environment and Countryside	
Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified	so far?
Clays Field (southern section) Maudlin Farm Field	Strongly agree Strongly agree
Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)	Respondent skipped this question
Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)	Respondent skipped this question
Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 4: Transport and Movement	
Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?	Respondent skipped this question
Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?	Respondent skipped this question
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:	Respondent skipped this question
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting	Respondent skipped this question

Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce	
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Respondent skipped this question
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Respondent skipped this question
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Respondent skipped this question
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Final Comments	
Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback	Respondent skipped this question
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Agree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Respondent skipped this question

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Tuesday, December 04, 2018 8:46:14 PM **Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 8:58:09 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:11:55 IP Address: 94.9.140.9

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in? **Bramber** 

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Strongly agree Design of Development Strongly agree **Energy Efficiency and Design** Strongly agree Sites Strongly agree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

**Agree** 

Q4 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Agree

Q5 Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly agree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is

Vista, density, traffic

access

unsuitable:

Q6 If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

16 to 30 dwellings Strongly agree

Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Respondent skipped this question

Q8 Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used Agree to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

15 to 20 dwellings Strongly agree

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)

Agree

Maudlin Farm Field

Agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure

Protection of Views

Minimising Flood Risk

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

Retain all footpaths and bridleways Agree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)

Disagree

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

vehicles

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Strongly agree

Agree

Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas

Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

Strongly agree

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?

Strongly agree

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Agree

**Agree** 

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?

Can you suggest any heritage buildings/structures that are

?

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

Respondent skipped this question

not already protected and should be?:

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?

Agree

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?

Disagree

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Final Comments

### Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

- d. Support schemes to minimise rat running "only by more road space"
- a. Do you feel that an Education centre at St Mary's would be worthwhile? -"covering what"

Input by Rachael

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

Strongly disagree

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Respondent skipped this question

### #33

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Tuesday, December 04, 2018 10:05:30 PM Last Modified: Tuesday, December 04, 2018 10:10:20 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:04:50 **IP Address:** 94.9.140.9

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Strongly agree
Design of Development Strongly agree

Sites Agree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an Strongly agree identified housing need for Bramber?

Q4 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so strongly far for Clays Field? Strongly disagree

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

preser.

16 to 30 dwellings Strongly agree

Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? Strongly disagree

Q8 Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings 15 to 20 dwellings	Strongly disagree Strongly disagree
Page 3: Environment and Countryside	
Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?	Respondent skipped this question
Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)	Respondent skipped this question
Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)	Respondent skipped this question
Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?	Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Transport and Movement

### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	Strongly agree
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	Strongly agree
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	Strongly agree
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	Strongly agree

#### **Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces clo	se to and in sight of	Strongly agree
the dwellings they serve		
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more	than 5 properties per	Strongly agree
parking area)		
Provide appropriate levels of parking for vis	sitors and service	Strongly agree
vehicles		
Contribute financially towards the provision	of dedicated cycle	Strongly agree
parking at public facilities		

Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies? No reduction in public off-road spaces Agree Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Agree Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas Agree Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at: Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)? Agree A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)? Strongly disagree Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled Strongly agree (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the Disagree church facility for further use within the community? Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth Agree facilities in the parish? Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are Strongly agree already protected? Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should Respondent skipped this question seek to create or develop in the parish? Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's **Agree** would be worthwhile? Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of Disagree hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could Respondent skipped this question be made to encourage tourism? Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could Respondent skipped this question be made to encourage retail/local business?

Page 6: Final Comments

Q27 Please use this space to give us any a	additional feedback
--	---------------------

Input	by	Rachael
-------	----	---------

Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a
Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in
particular

Strongly agree

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Agree

### #34

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Tuesday, December 04, 2018 10:10:32 PM Last Modified: Tuesday, December 04, 2018 10:19:58 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:09:26 **IP Address:** 94.9.140.9

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development

Design of Development

Energy Efficiency and Design

Sitrongly disagree

Sitrongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Disagree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

**Disagree** 

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Access is the most obvious. There were obvious dangers of the crossing of the bypass but ignored. Also it was understood that there was a covenant on the field which Mr Aikman and the person he purchased it from to ensure it was never built on but used for the pleasure of the residents of Steyning.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

Respondent skipped this question

<b>Q7</b> Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?	If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed: We are not entirely sure of the proposed building but the biggest objection is the infrastructure of Steyning can't cope with a development of more houses.
<b>Q8</b> Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?	Strongly disagree
<b>Q9</b> If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer	Respondent skipped this question
Page 3: Environment and Countryside	
Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified	so far?
Clays Field (southern section) Maudlin Farm Field	Strongly agree Strongly agree
Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)	Respondent skipped this question
Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)	Respondent skipped this question
Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 4: Transport and Movement	
Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?	
New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	Strongly disagree
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	Strongly disagree
Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?	Respondent skipped this question

Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?	Respondent skipped this question
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:	Respondent skipped this question
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce	
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Respondent skipped this question
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Respondent skipped this question
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Disagree
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Disagree
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	
Parking charges are never a tourist attraction but again that point was overlooked.	
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?  Reduce rental charges	

Page 6: Final Comments

### Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Steyning is at its capacity as far as doctors, school and policing. The Grammar School has had to take children from the Henfield housing increase which has caused more problems. Many years ago a new development was proposed with the proviso of building a junior/infant school. The houses were built but no school. This was the Chandlers Way housing.

Input	by	Rachael
-------	----	---------

Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Disagree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Respondent skipped this question

### #35

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Wednesday, December 05, 2018 2:27:17 PM **Last Modified:** Wednesday, December 05, 2018 2:37:32 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:10:14 **IP Address:** 80.229.2.127

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of DevelopmentStrongly agreeDesign of DevelopmentStrongly agreeEnergy Efficiency and DesignStrongly disagreeSitesStrongly disagree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Strongly disagree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so **Strongly agree** far for Clays Field?

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly agree

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

31 to 50 dwellings Strongly agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Strongly disagree

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Strongly disagree

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings 15 to 20 dwellings Strongly disagree Strongly disagree

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)
Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly disagree Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

land at kingsmead close

Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

no

Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure
Protection of Views
Minimising Flood Risk
Renewable Energy

Strongly disagree Strongly agree Strongly disagree Strongly disagree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport

Agree

network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Strongly agree Strongly agree

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly agree

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of

the dwellings they serve

Strongly agree

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per

parking area)

Strongly agree

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service

vehicles

Strongly agree

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle

parking at public facilities

Strongly disagree

Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas Strongly agree Strongly agree Strongly disagree

Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?

Strongly disagree

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?

Strongly disagree

Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Strongly agree

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Strongly disagree

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

Strongly disagree

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?

Strongly disagree

Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

There should be speed bumps up maudlin lane as is often used as a footpath and several people have been almost knocked down by cars using as a cut through when there are issues on A27, also lots of parents visiting lancing college use as short cut.. (bramber castle part of maudlin lane up hill). There is also a footpath that crosses the lane at top of maudlin lane by the barton and its a blind corner / hill and is very dangerous to cross with cars coming up the lane so fast. There will be a serious accident soon on this lane.

Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Strongly disagree
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Strongly disagree
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be a 30 mph speed limit along steyning and bramber bypass should be crossing this dangerous road	_
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be free parking should be re introducing this stupid disc system is a jok	-
Page 6: Final Comments  Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback	Respondent skipped this question
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Agree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Strongly disagree

## #36

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Wednesday, December 05, 2018 9:49:04 PM Last Modified: Wednesday, December 05, 2018 10:56:34 PM

**Time Spent:** 01:07:29 **IP Address:** 92.26.16.161

#### Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

**Bramber** 

#### Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree
Sites Agree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

#### Agree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
Stallards' say that 25% is proposed to be built on. The area shown on their diagram looks larger than 25%. Less than 25% would be preferable and the development must include smaller affordable 2-bed houses which cannot be extended. Everything must be very clearly agreed with the developer with legally binding covenants to ensure that the development is as has been agreed and that it does not spread further in future. Noise levels are high near the bypass.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

#### Agree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Reluctantly agree. Would like it less than 25% of Clay's Field. I would prefer to see more housing in Steyning and Upper Beeding where it would be nearer to shops, schools etc.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings Agree
16 to 30 dwellings Agree

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

#### Agree,

Agree

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

A shame to lose woodland and the view from the downs.

Need to have covenants to ensure trees with TPO's are kept and all trees and biodiversity are encouraged. Ask for experts from Sussex Wildlife Trust and SDNP to advise.

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings Agree
15 to 20 dwellings Disagree

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section) Strongly agree
Maudlin Farm Field Strongly agree

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Bramber Brooks, St Mary's Garden - but they are already protected. Kingsmead Close site also valuable green space but you have to build somewhere.

Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Kingsmead Close - ask experts to assess its biodiversity. Woodland of that size is rare in the SDNP.

#### Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure
Protection of Views
Agree
Minimising Flood Risk
Agree
Renewable Energy
Agree

#### Page 4: Transport and Movement

#### Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport
network

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users
Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Strongly agree
Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Strongly agree
Strongly agree

#### Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of
the dwellings they serve
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per
parking area)
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service
vehicles
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle
parking at public facilities

Strongly agree
Strongly agree
Strongly agree

#### Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces

Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas

Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

#### Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)? Agree

Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Agree
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Agree
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Agree
<b>Q22</b> Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Agree
<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Agree
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be Leaflets about what to do in Bramber on noticeboards, in pubs and	•
<b>Q26</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Final Comments  Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedb	ack
Not sure about the proposed pegasus crossing on the bypass. There are bends on the bypass on the approach to this from both directions, so will drivers see the lights in time? Even with a 50 limit, will that be enough to prevent crashes? Also not sure about the proposed small car park near the roundabout. Loses a lot of trees, entrance and exit may be hazardous? Happier with the ones on the downs.	
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Agree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Agree

### #37

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, December 10, 2018 3:23:25 PM Last Modified: Monday, December 10, 2018 3:32:10 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:08:44 **IP Address:** 86.131.85.204

#### Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

**Bramber** 

#### Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development Agree
Design of Development Agree
Energy Efficiency and Design Agree

Sites Strongly disagree

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

**Disagree** 

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Disagree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:
It contradicts Objectives 1, 2 and 7 of the Neighbourhood

Plan

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Disagree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Most properties have 2 vehicles. The roads around Bramber are densely used, no speed controls. Access to site would create immense traffic increase when there is too much already.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so Agree far for the site at Kingsmead Close? Q8 Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used Agree to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? Q9 If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer 5 to 10 dwellings Agree Page 3: Environment and Countryside **Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far? Clays Field (southern section) Disagree Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have Respondent skipped this question not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) Part of above field could be utilised. Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies Respondent skipped this question identified so far? Page 4: Transport and Movement Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies? New development to be connected to the footpath/transport Agree Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users Agree Retain all footpaths and bridleways Agree

Agree

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?			
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	Strongly agree		
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	Strongly agree		
Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking polici	es?		
No reduction in public off-road spaces Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	Agree Agree		
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking	Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:		
Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	Agree		
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Strongly agree		
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce			
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Agree		
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Agree		
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Agree		
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question		
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Respondent skipped this question		
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Respondent skipped this question		
Q25 Are there any other improvements you think could be Better access and better parking for caravans.	made to encourage tourism?		

Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

Stop parking on single yellow lines in Steyning.

Page 6: Final Comme	nts.
---------------------	------

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Respondent skipped this question

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

Disagree

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Strongly agree

### #38

#### COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, December 10, 2018 4:23:39 PM Last Modified: Monday, December 10, 2018 4:33:42 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:10:02 **IP Address:** 86.131.85.204

Page 1: About this survey

Q1 Which parish do you live in?

Bramber

Page 2: Housing

Q2 Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development

Design of Development

Agree

Energy Efficiency and Design

Agree

Sites Strongly disagree

Q3 Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber?

Agree

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field?

Disagree,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

The Neighbourhood Plan should 100% protect the ambience of Bramber and retain Clays Field in it's present state or improve it for people.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

Disagree,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

It is and escape area for Clays Hill Rd. The pavements area not safe for 2 people and the cars are too fast

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

Respondent skipped this question

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

Agree

<b>Q8</b> Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?	Agree
<b>Q9</b> If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer	Respondent skipped this question
Page 3: Environment and Countryside	
Q10 Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?	Respondent skipped this question
Q11 Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)	Respondent skipped this question
Q12 Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)	Respondent skipped this question
Q13 Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified	so far?
Green Infrastructure	Disagree
Protection of Views	Disagree
Minimising Flood Risk	Disagree
Renewable Energy	Disagree
Page 4: Transport and Movement	
Q14 Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Move	ment policies?
New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

Q15 Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?	
Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	Disagree
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	Disagree
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	Disagree
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	Disagree
Q16 Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?	
No reduction in public off-road spaces	Disagree
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	Disagree Disagree
Q17 Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:	
Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	Agree
Q18 Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	Strongly agree
Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce	
Q19 Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	Disagree
Q20 Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	Disagree
Q21 Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	Agree
Q22 Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	Respondent skipped this question
Q23 Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	Disagree
Q24 Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	Disagree

<b>Q25</b> Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?	Respondent skipped this question
Q26 Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Final Comments  Q27 Please use this space to give us any additional feedback  Kingsmead dwellings -not quantified  Clays Filed Green Space - not that they are identified for buuilding.	
Q28 Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular	Disagree
Q29 Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan	Disagree